

FY2017 CoC Program Competition Application Score Cards

Discussion

The System Performance Measures established by HUD have become increasingly important components in the federal review of applications for Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition funding. CoCs are expected to incorporate and review these measures during the program competition and the proposed FY17 Renewal Project Scorecard incorporates the HUD system performance measures and was influenced by best practices from other Continuums across the nation.

As explained in the scorecard companion document, the point breakout for each category is derived from the average score of the performance of the system as a whole. The scores are expected to be tabulated the week of July 10th.

The Improvement, Coordination, and Training Committee (ICT) reviewed the proposed FY17 scorecard in June 2017 and TCHC hosted a public meeting in July 2017 to gather public comment and feedback on the scoring tool.

Recommendation

The Improvement, Coordination, and Training Committee recommends the Continuum of Care Board of Directors adopt the following Scorecard for renewing projects in the FY17 CoC Competition.

FY2017 CoC Program Competition Application Score Cards

Renewal Project Type:	Scored Performance Date Range:	
Recipient:	<h1 style="font-size: 2em; margin: 0;">DRAFT</h1>	
Subrecipient:		
Project Name:		

Scoring Category & Weight	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria*	Measured Performance	Number Served or Proposed	Calculation	Points: 10	Points: 5	Points: 0	Total
APR 45%	1. Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate (June 2016 - December 2016)	APR Q8b & Application				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
	2. Percentage of chronically homeless households served	APR Q26a				PSH	PSH	PSH	
	3. Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	APR Q23a and Q23b				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
	4. Percentage of adults at exit or annual assessment who retained, gained or increased employment income	APR Q19a3				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
	5. Percentage of adults at exit or annual assessment who retained, gained or increased non-employment cash income	APR Q19a3				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
	6. Percentage of leavers who exited to shelter, streets, or unknown	APR Q23a and Q23b				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
Financials 5%	7. Quarterly eLOCCS drawdowns	Agency Self-Report				4 Quarters	3 Quarters	<= 2 Quarters	
	8. Percentage of total grant funds that were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	Grant close-out letter submitted by agency				0%	1-4%	>= 5%	
	9. Annual cost per exit to or retention of PH	Total budget with match/# of persons served				PSH: <= \$xx RRH: <= \$xx	PSH: \$x - \$x RRH: \$x - \$x	PSH: >= \$x RRH: >= \$x	
HMIS** & Data Quality 45%	10. Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	HUD Validation Report				0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>5%	
	11. Universal Data Elements Error Rate	HUD Validation Report				0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>5%	
	12. HMIS Site Visit	Site Visit Scoresheet				>=80%	65% - 79%	<=64%	
CoC Participation 5%	13. 2017 PIT Count Participation	PIT Registration or PIT Data				Yes		No	
	14. CoC General Meeting Attendance	Sign-In Sheets				>= 75%	50% - 74%	<= 49%	
Total Project Score	Total Project Score = APR Performance Data Weighted Percentage + Financial Weighted Percentage + HMIS & Data Quality Weighted Percentage + CoC Participation Weighted Percentage							Total Points Available	Total Score Achieved
									#####
*See Companion Document for additional clarification							Total Percentage Score	#####	
**HMIS or Comparable Database (CD) for DV Agencies									
TEST ITEMS	1. Client project enrollment to move-in date	HMIS Report							
	2. Participation in case conferencing, monthly meetings, etc. (October - June)	Sign-In Sheets				>= 75%	50% - 74%	<= 49%	
	3. Percentage of newly enrolled clients from HomeBase (January 1 - May 31)	HomeBase Report				>= 90%			
	4. Timeliness of data entry	APR Q6e				<= 3 days		> 3 days	

2017 Renewal Project Score Card

Renewal Project Type:

Scored Performance Date Range:

Recipient:

Subrecipient:

Project Name:

DRAFT

Scoring Category & Weight	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria*	Measured Performance	Number Served or Proposed	Calculation	Points: 10	Points: 5	Points: 0	Total
APR 45%	1. Quarterly Occupancy Utilization Rate (June 2016 - December 2016)	APR Q8b & Application				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
	2. Percentage of chronically homeless households served	APR Q26a				PSH	PSH	PSH	
	3. Percentage of participants who remained in PSH or exited to permanent housing	APR Q23a and Q23b				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
	4. Percentage of adults at exit or annual assessment who retained, gained or increased employment income	APR Q19a3				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
	5. Percentage of adults at exit or annual assessment who retained, gained or increased non-employment cash income	APR Q19a3				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
	6. Percentage of leavers who exited to shelter, streets, or unknown	APR Q23a and Q23b				PSH RRH	PSH RRH	PSH RRH	
Financials 5%	7. Quarterly eLOCCS drawdowns	Agency Self-Report				4 Quarters	3 Quarters	<= 2 Quarters	
	8. Percentage of total grant funds that were recaptured in the most recent grant closeout	Grant close-out letter submitted by agency				0%	1-4%	>= 5%	
	9. Annual cost per exit to or retention of PH	Total budget with match/# of persons served				PSH: <= \$xx RRH: <= \$xx	PSH: \$x - \$x RRH: \$x - \$x	PSH: >= \$x RRH: >= \$x	
HMIS** & Data Quality 45%	10. Personal Identifying Information Error Rate	HUD Validation Report				0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>5%	
	11. Universal Data Elements Error Rate	HUD Validation Report				0% - 1%	2% - 5%	>5%	
	12. HMIS Site Visit	Site Visit Scoresheet				>=80%	65% - 79%	<=64%	
CoC Participation 5%	13. 2017 PIT Count Participation	PIT Registration or PIT Data				Yes		No	
	14. CoC General Meeting Attendance	Sign-In Sheets				>= 75%	50% - 74%	<= 49%	
Total Project Score	Total Project Score = APR Performance Data Weighted Percentage + Financial Weighted Percentage + HMIS & Data Quality Weighted Percentage + CoC Participation Weighted Percentage							Total Points Available	Total Score Achieved
									#####
*See Companion Document for additional clarification								Total Percentage Score	#####
**HMIS or Comparable Database (CD) for DV Agencies									

TEST ITEMS	1. Client project enrollment to move-in date	HMIS Report							
	2. Participation in case conferencing, monthly meetings, etc. (October - June)	Sign-In Sheets				>= 75%	50% - 74%	<= 49%	
	3. Percentage of newly enrolled clients from HomeBase (January 1 - May 31)	HomeBase Report				>= 90%			

2017 Renewal Project Score Card

4. Timeliness of data entry

APR Q6e

<= 3 days

> 3 days

Agency Comment:

9/18/2015 12/1/2014 291 **80%**

Tot Auth 112537
73454,43
65%

Section weighting ratio

Do we want to measure CH or CAS

Other Bonus Areas?

Score for higher than Average Performacne?

Non Cash Benefits???

HMIS for next year

Should the weights be broken out between RRH & PSH or one big average
LOCCS Draws - add frequency of draw down?